arXiv:1411.3219v3 [hep-ph] 9 Apr 2015

SSU-HEP-14/11

Ground state hyperfine structure in muonic lithium ions

A. P. Martynenko

Samara State University, Pavlov Str. 1, 443011, Samara, Russia and

Samara State Aerospace University named after S.P. Korolyov, Moskovskoye Shosse 34, 443086, Samara, Russia

A. A. Ulybin

Samara State University, Pavlov Str. 1, 443011, Samara, Russia

On the basis of perturbation theory in fine structure constant α and the ratio of electron to muon masses we calculate one-loop vacuum polarization, electron vertex corrections, nuclear structure and recoil corrections to hyperfine splitting of the ground state in muonic lithium ions $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$ and $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$. We obtain total results for the ground state small hyperfine splittings in $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$ $\Delta\nu_1 = 21572.16$ MHz and $\Delta\nu_2 = 14152.56$ MHz and in $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$ $\Delta\nu_1 = 21733.06$ MHz and $\Delta\nu_2 = 13994.35$ MHz which can be considered as a reliable estimate for a comparison with future experimental data.

I. INTRODUCTION

Muonic lithium ions $(\mu\, e\, {}^6_3Li)^+$ and $(\mu\, e\, {}^7_3Li)^+$ represent simple three-body atomic systems consisting of one electron, negative charged muon and positive charged nucleus ${}^6_3Li$ or ${}^7_3Li$. A lifetime of muonic atoms is determined by muon lifetime $\tau_\mu = 2.19703(4)\cdot 10^{-6}$ s. It is greater than the time of atomic processes, so, the muon has a time to make a number of transitions between energy levels which are attended by γ-radiation. These three-particle systems have complicate ground state hyperfine structure which appears due to the interaction of magnetic moments of the electron, muon and nucleus. Light muonic atoms represent a unique laboratory for precise determination of nuclear properties such as the nuclear charge radius [1, 2]. In last years we observe an essential progress achieved by the CREMA (Charge Radius Experiment with Muonic Atoms) collaboration in the study of the energy structure in muonic hydrogen. The measurement of the Lamb shift $(2P - 2S)$ and hyperfine splitting of $2S$-state allows to find more precise value of proton charge radius $r_p = 0.84087(39)$ fm, the Zemach radius $r_Z = 1.082(37)$ fm and magnetic radius $r_M = 0.87(6)$ fm. The obtained value of proton charge radius r_p is an order of magnitude more precise than the 2010-CODATA value which was derived by means of different methods including the hydrogen spectroscopy. It differs from CODATA value by 7σ. Note that the Zemach radius of the proton $r_Z = 1.045(16)$ fm and magnetic radius $r_M = 0.778(29)$ fm were obtained earlier more precisely from a comparison of experimental data with predictions for the hydrogen hyperfine splitting in [3]. Similar measurements are performed also in the case of muonic deuterium and ions of muonic helium and planned for a publication. Light muonic atoms are important for the check of Standard Model and bound state theory in quantum electrodynamics, for

search of exotic interactions of elementary particles. Thus, for example, muonic systems can be used for the search of Lorentz and CPT symmetry violations [4].

Hyperfine splitting (HFS) of the ground state of muonic helium atom ($\mu\ e\ {}^3_2He$) was measured many years ago with sufficiently high accuracy in [5]. This is the only experiment with muonic three-particle systems. In turn theoretical investigations of the energy spectrum of muonic helium atom and other three-particle systems achieved much successes in two directions [6–13]. First approach in [6, 7, 11] is based on the perturbation theory (PT) for the Schrödinger equation. In this case there is analytical solution for three-particle bound state wave function in initial approximation. Using it a calculation of different corrections to HFS can be performed. Another approach in [9, 10, 12, 14, 15] is built on the variational method which allows to calculate numerically the energy levels in three-particle systems with high accuracy. In order to find an arrangement of the low lying energy levels with high precision we should take into account different corrections to particle interaction operator. First of all these corrections are related with recoil effects, vacuum polarization and nuclear structure effects. The program of analytical calculation of hyperfine splitting in muonic helium atom including excited state was realized in [6, 7, 10–12, 16]. It allowed to present hyperfine splitting in analytical form as a series into small parameters existing in this task. In this work we aim to extend that approach on muonic lithium ions which represent potentially an interest for experimental study. So, the purpose of this paper is to provide a detailed calculation of hyperfine splittings for the systems $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$, $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$.

The bound particles in muonic lithium ions have different masses $m_e \ll m_\mu \ll m_{Li}$. As a result the muon and Li nucleus compose the pseudonucleus $(\mu\ {}^{6,7}_3Li)^{++}$ and muonic lithium ion looks as a two-particle system in the first approximation. Three-particle bound system $(\mu\ e\ {}^{6,7}_3Li)^+$ is described by the Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_0+\Delta H+\Delta H_{rec}+\Delta H_{VP}+\Delta H_{str}+\Delta H_{vert},\ H_0 = -\frac{1}{2M_\mu}\nabla^2_\mu-\frac{1}{2M_e}\nabla^2_e-\frac{3\alpha}{x_\mu}-\frac{2\alpha}{x_e}, \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta H = \frac{\alpha}{x_{\mu e}} - \frac{\alpha}{x_e}, \quad \Delta H_{rec} = -\frac{1}{M_{Li}}\boldsymbol{\nabla}_\mu\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}_e, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_\mu$ and $\mathbf{x_e}$ are the muon and electron coordinates relative to the lithium nucleus, $M_e = m_eM_{Li}/(m_e+M_{Li})$, $M_\mu = m_\mu M_{Li}/(m_\mu+M_{Li})$ are the reduced masses of subsystems $(e\ {}^{6,7}_3Li)^{++}$ and $(\mu\ {}^{6,7}_3Li)^{++}$. The Hamiltonian terms ΔH_{VP}, ΔH_{str} and ΔH_{vert} which describe vacuum polarization, structure and vertex corrections are constructed below. In initial approximation the wave function of the ground state has the form:

$$\Psi_0(\mathbf{x_e},\mathbf{x}_\mu) = \psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x_e})\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_\mu) = \frac{1}{\pi}(6\alpha^2M_eM_\mu)^{3/2}e^{-3\alpha M_\mu x_\mu}e^{-2\alpha M_e x_e}. \quad (3)$$

As it follows from the structure of the Hamiltonian presented in (1)-(2) we include in basic Hamiltonian H_0 only a part of the Coulomb electron-nucleus interaction. The reminder is considered as a perturbation as well as the Coulomb muon-electron interaction. In this way we can explore analytical method of the calculation of hyperfine structure based on the perturbation theory. Analytical solution for the wave function (3) allows to obtain the perturbation contributions in two small parameters α and M_e/M_μ as demonstrated below. The corrections due to electron-muon interaction and mass polarization term (2) are considered in second orders of perturbation theory in subsequent sections. They are presented firstly in analytical integral form and calculated after that analytically or numerically.



FIG. 1: Schematic hypefine splittings of the ground state in muonic lithium ions. Numerical values of angular momenta are presented in the case of $(\mu\ e\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^{+}$.

Basic contribution to hyperfine interaction in the ground state of $(\mu\ e\ {}^{6,7}_{3}Li)^{+}$ is determined by the following Hamiltonian:

$$\Delta H_0^{hfs}=\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_\mu g_N}{m_\mu m_p}(\mathbf{S}_\mu\cdot\mathbf{I})\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu)-\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_\mu}{m_e m_\mu}(\mathbf{S}_e\cdot\mathbf{S}_\mu)\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e)+\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p}(\mathbf{S}_e\cdot\mathbf{I})\delta(\mathbf{x}_e),\ (4)$$

where g_e, g_μ and g_N are gyromagnetic factors of the electron, muon and nucleus. Total spin of three spin particles can be either 2, 1 and 0 for $(\mu\ e\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^{+}$ and 5/2, 3/2 and 1/2 for $(\mu\ e\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^{+}$.

Hyperfine splitting of the energy levels in muonic lithium ions is determined by the following matrix elements:

$$\nu=\langle\Delta H_0^{hfs}\rangle=a\ \langle\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{S}_\mu\rangle-b\ \langle\mathbf{S}_\mu\cdot\mathbf{S}_e\rangle+c\ \langle\mathbf{S}_e\cdot\mathbf{I}\rangle,\qquad(5)$$

where the spin-space expectation values can be calculated using the following basis transformation [17]:

$$\Psi_{S_{N\mu}SS_z}=\sum_{S_{Ne}}(-1)^{S_\mu+I+S_e+S}\sqrt{(2S_{N\mu}+1)(2S_{Ne}+1)}\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}S_e & S_N & S_{Ne}\\ S_\mu & S & S_{N\mu}\end{array}\right\}\Psi_{S_{Ne}SS_z}.\qquad(6)$$

$S_{N\mu}$ is the spin in muon-nucleus subsystem, S_{Ne} is the spin in electron-nucleus subsystem, S is total angular momentum. The properties of $6j$-symbols also can be found in [17]. As it follows from (4)-(5) basic contributions to coefficients a, b and c are the following:

$$a_0=\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_N g_\mu}{m_p m_\mu}\langle\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu)\rangle,\ b_0=\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_\mu g_e}{m_\mu m_e}\langle\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e)\rangle,\ c_0=\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p}\langle\delta(\mathbf{x}_e)\rangle,\qquad(7)$$

where $\langle ...\rangle$ denotes the expectation value in coordinate space over wave functions (3). We have to take into account numerical values of gyromagnetic factors $g_e=2$ for the b coefficient,

$g_e = 2(1+\kappa_e) = 2(1 + 1.15965218111(74)\cdot 10^{-3})$ for the c coefficient, $g_\mu = 2(1+\kappa_\mu) = 2\cdot(1 + 1.16592069(60)\cdot 10^{-3})$, $g_N({}^6_3Li) = 0.822047$, $g_N({}^7_3Li) = 2.170951$.

The expectation value (5) is the 4×4 matrix corresponding to different values of total spin and muon-nucleus spin: $(S=0, S_{N\mu}=\frac{1}{2})$, $(S=1, S_{N\mu}=\frac{1}{2})$, $(S=1, S_{N\mu}=\frac{3}{2})$, $(S=2, S_{N\mu}=\frac{3}{2})$ for the ion $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$; $(S=\frac{1}{2}, S_{N\mu}=1)$, $(S=\frac{3}{2}, S_{N\mu}=1)$, $(S=\frac{3}{2}, S_{N\mu}=2)$, $(S=\frac{5}{2}, S_{N\mu}=2)$ for the ion $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$. After its diagonalization we obtain four energy eigenvalues ν_i. In the case of muonic lithium ions we have relations $a \gg b$ and $a \gg c$. So, small hyperfine splitting intervals $\Delta\nu_i$ related to the experiment can be written with good accuracy in simple form:

$$\Delta\nu_1^{hfs}(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li) = \frac{2(b-2c)}{3} + O\left(\frac{b}{a},\frac{c}{a}\right),\ \Delta\nu_2^{hfs}(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li) = \frac{b+4c}{3} + O\left(\frac{b}{a},\frac{c}{a}\right), \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta\nu_1^{hfs}(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li) = \frac{5(b-3c)}{8} + O\left(\frac{b}{a},\frac{c}{a}\right),\ \Delta\nu_2^{hfs}(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li) = \frac{3(b+5c)}{8} + O\left(\frac{b}{a},\frac{c}{a}\right). \quad (9)$$

For angular momentum of muon-nucleus subsystem $S_{\mu N} = 3/2$ and $S_{\mu N} = 1/2$ $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$ hyperfine splitting intervals (8) between states with total angular momentum $S = 2, 1$ and $S = 1, 0$ arise from magnetic interaction between the electron and pseudonucleus $(\mu\ {}^6_3Li)^{++}$. The same situation is valid for hyperfine splitting intervals (9) for $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$. Schematic diagram of hyperfine splittings in muonic lithium ions is presented in Fig. 1

In first order perturbation theory (PT) basic contributions to the coefficients b and c (7) can be calculated analytically using (3) (hereinafter the upper and lower values correspond to $(\mu\ e\ {}^6_3Li)^+$ and $(\mu\ e\ {}^7_3Li)^+$):

$$b_0 = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_\mu}{m_e m_\mu}\int \Psi^*(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu)\delta(\mathbf{x}_e-\mathbf{x}_\mu)\Psi(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu)d\mathbf{x}_e d\mathbf{x}_\mu = \nu_F\frac{g_e g_\mu}{4}\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^3} = \quad (10)$$

$$= \nu_F\left[1+\kappa_\mu + (1+\kappa_\mu)\left(-2\frac{M_e}{M_\mu} + \frac{8}{3}\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\right)\right],\ \nu_F = \frac{64M_e^3\alpha^4}{3m_e m_\mu} = \begin{cases} 36140.290\ MHz \\ 36141.701\ MHz \end{cases},$$

$$c_0 = \frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_N}{m_e m_p}\int \Psi^*(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu)\delta(\mathbf{x}_e)\Psi(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu)d\mathbf{x}_e d\mathbf{x}_\mu = \nu_F\frac{m_\mu}{m_p}\frac{g_e g_N}{4} = \begin{cases} 1674.700\ MHz \\ 4422.900\ MHz \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

where we have extracted in square brackets the Fermi energy ν_F, muon anomalous magnetic moment correction $\kappa_\mu\nu_F$ and recoil terms. Their corresponding numerical values for two lithium ions are presented in Table I.

Note, that, as we determine contributions to the energy spectrum numerically, corresponding results are presented with an accuracy of 0.001 MHz. We express further the hyperfine splitting contributions in the frequency unit using the relation $\Delta E^{hfs} = 2\pi\hbar\Delta\nu^{hfs}$. Modern numerical values of fundamental physical constants are taken from [18–20]: the electron mass $m_e = 0.510998928(11)\cdot 10^{-3}$ GeV, the muon mass $m_\mu = 0.1056583715(35)$ GeV, fine structure constant $\alpha^{-1} = 137.035999074(44)$, the proton mass $m_p = 0.938272046(21)$ GeV, magnetic moments of Li nucleus in nuclear magnetons: $\mu({}^6_3Li)$=0.8220473(6), $\mu({}^7_3Li)$=3.256427(2), masses of Li nucleus $M({}^6_3Li)$=5.60152 GeV, $M({}^7_3Li)$=6.53383 GeV muon anomalous magnetic moment $\kappa_\mu = 1.16592091(63)\cdot 10^{-3}$, the electron anomalous magnetic moment $\kappa_e = 1.15965218076(27)\cdot 10^{-3}$.

In next sections we calculate different corrections to coefficients b_0 and c_0 over two small parameters α and M_e/M_μ.



FIG. 2: The vacuum polarization effects. The dashed line represents the Coulomb photon. The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green's function.

II. RECOIL CORRECTIONS

Let us consider a calculation of recoil corrections of order $\alpha^4\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}$, $\alpha^4\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\ln\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}$ and $\alpha^4\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}$. Using basic relations obtained in [6] for muonic helium atom we present here corresponding results for muonic lithium ions. A part of such corrections appears already in (10). In second order PT we also have the contribution to hyperfine splitting which contains necessary order corrections. The correction to the coefficient b is the following:

$$b_1 = 2\int \Psi^*(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu)\Delta H_0^{hfs}(\mathbf{x}_e-\mathbf{x}_\mu)\tilde{G}(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu;\mathbf{x'}_e,\mathbf{x'}_\mu)\Delta H(\mathbf{x'}_e,\mathbf{x'}_\mu)\Psi(\mathbf{x'}_e,\mathbf{x'}_\mu)d\mathbf{x}_e d\mathbf{x}_\mu d\mathbf{x'}_e d\mathbf{x'}_\mu, \tag{12}$$

where the reduced Coulomb Green's function has the form:

$$\tilde{G}(\mathbf{x}_e,\mathbf{x}_\mu;\mathbf{x'}_e,\mathbf{x'}_\mu) = \sum_{n,n'\neq 0}\frac{\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_\mu)\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_e)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x'}_\mu)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x'}_e)}{E_{\mu 0}+E_{e0}-E_{\mu n}-E_{en'}}. \tag{13}$$

Dividing the sum over muon states into two parts with $n=0$ and $n\neq 0$ we obtain for the first part:

$$b_1(n=0) = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_\mu}{m_e m_\mu}\int |\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)|^2\psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\sum_{n'\neq 0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{e0}-E_{en'}}V_\mu(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1)d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_3, \tag{14}$$

$$V_\mu(\mathbf{x}_1) = \int \psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\left[\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}-\frac{\alpha}{x_1}\right]\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)d\mathbf{x}_2 = -\frac{\alpha}{x_1}(1+3\alpha x_1 M_\mu)e^{-6\alpha x_1 M_\mu}. \tag{15}$$

For the further integration in (14) over coordinates we use compact expression of the electron reduced Coulomb Green's function obtained in [21]:

$$G_e(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_3) = \sum_{n\neq 0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi_{en}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{en}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{e0}-E_{en}} = -\frac{2\alpha M_e^2}{\pi}e^{-2\alpha M_e(x_1+x_3)}\left[\frac{1}{4\alpha M_e x_>}-\right. \tag{16}$$

$$-\ln(4\alpha M_e x_>)-\ln(4\alpha M_e x_<)+Ei(4\alpha M_e x_<)+\frac{7}{2}-2C-2\alpha M_e(x_1+x_3)+\frac{1-e^{4\alpha M_e x_<}}{4\alpha M_e x_<}\Bigg],$$

where $x_< = \min(x_1, x_3)$, $x_> = \max(x_1, x_3)$, $C = 0.577216\ldots$ is the Euler's constant and $Ei(x)$ is the exponential-integral function. The result of coordinate integration in (14) can be written as an expansion in M_e/M_μ:

$$b_1(n=0) = \nu_F(1+\kappa_\mu)\left[\frac{11}{24}\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}+\frac{1}{72}\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\left(-64\ln\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}-7-128\ln 2+64\ln 3\right)\right]. \quad (17)$$

Second contribution to b corresponding to muon excited states is equal to

$$b_1(n\neq 0) = \frac{4\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_e g_\mu}{m_e m_\mu}\int \psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\sum_{n\neq 0}\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)G_e(\mathbf{x}_3,\mathbf{x}_1,z)\times \quad (18)$$

$$\left[\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}-\frac{\alpha}{x_1}\right]\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1)d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3,$$

where the electron Coulomb Green's function

$$G_e(\mathbf{x}_3,\mathbf{x}_1,z) = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{z-E_{en'}} = \sum_{n'=0}^{\infty}\frac{\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{\mu 0}+E_{e0}-E_{\mu n}-E_{en'}}. \quad (19)$$

The term $(-\alpha/x_1)$ does not contribute due to the orthogonality of muon wave functions. In order to make analytical integration in (18) we use a replacement of G_e by free electron Green's function [6]:

$$G_e(\mathbf{x}_3,\mathbf{x}_1,E_{\mu 0}+E_{e0}-E_{\mu n}) \to G_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1,E_{\mu 0}+E_{e0}-E_{\mu n}) = -\frac{M_e}{2\pi}\frac{e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}, \quad (20)$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{2M_e(E_{\mu n}-E_{e0}-E_{\mu 0})}$. Moreover, we replace the electron wave functions in (18) by their values at the origin $\psi_{e0}(0)$. The omitted in this approximation terms can give contributions of second order in $\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}$. The results of numerical integration presented in [6] for muonic helium show that these corrections are numerically small. After used approximations an analytical integration over coordinate $\mathbf{x}_1$ gives the result:

$$\int \frac{e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}\frac{d\mathbf{x}_1}{|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|} = 4\pi\left[\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|+\frac{1}{6}\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|^2-\frac{\beta^2}{24}|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|^3+\ldots\right], \quad (21)$$

where an expansion of the exponent $e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_3|}$ over $\beta|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_3|$ is used. It is equivalent to an expansion in powers of $\sqrt{M_e/M_\mu}$. Whereas the first term β^{-1} does not contribute, the second term in (19) yields $-\nu_F\frac{35M_e}{24M_\mu}$. In addition the third term in (21) leads to the following integral:

$$\int \psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\sum_n \sqrt{2M_e(E_{\mu n}-E_{\mu 0})}\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)(\mathbf{x}_2\cdot\mathbf{x}_3)\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 = \frac{1}{3\alpha M_e}\left(\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\right)^{3/2}S_{1/2}, \quad (22)$$

where we define

$$S_{1/2}=\sum_n\left(\frac{E_{\mu n}-E_{\mu 0}}{R_\mu}\right)^{1/2}|\langle\mu 0|\frac{\mathbf{x}}{a_\mu}|\mu n\rangle|^2. \tag{23}$$

Discrete and continuum states contributions to (23) are equal correspondingly [22, 23]:

$$S^d_{1/2}=\sum_n\frac{2^8n^6(n-1)^{2n-\frac{9}{2}}}{(n+1)^{2n+\frac{9}{2}}}=1.90695..., \tag{24}$$

$$S^c_{1/2}=\int_0^\infty\frac{2^8kdk}{(k^2+1)^{9/2}(1-e^{-\frac{2\pi}{k}})}\left|\left(\frac{1+ik}{1-ik}\right)^{i/k}\right|=1.03111...,$$

where $R_\mu=\frac{9}{2}M_\mu\alpha^2$. Summing corrections in the first and second order PT we obtain total recoil correction to the coefficient b in order α^4:

$$b_{rec}=\nu_F(1+\kappa_\mu)\left[-3\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}-\frac{8}{9}\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\ln\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}+\frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\right)^{3/2}S_{1/2}+\frac{8}{9}\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\left(\frac{185}{64}-2\ln 2+\ln 3\right)\right]. \tag{25}$$

There are similar contributions to the coefficient c in second order PT. In order to obtain it we have to use $\Delta H_0^{hfs}(\mathbf{x}_e)=\frac{2\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_eg_N}{m_em_p}\delta(\mathbf{x}_e)$ in general expression (12). After evident simplifications recoil correction to c can be written as

$$c_1=\frac{4\pi\alpha}{3}\frac{g_eg_\mu}{m_em_p}\int\psi^*_{e0}(0)\tilde{G}_e(0,\mathbf{x}_1)V_\mu(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1)d\mathbf{x}_1. \tag{26}$$

Appearing here the electron reduced Green's function with one zero argument has a form:

$$\tilde{G}_e(0,\mathbf{x})=\sum_{n\neq 0}^\infty\frac{\psi_{en}(0)\psi^*_{en}(\mathbf{x})}{E_{e0}-E_{en}}=-\frac{2\alpha M_e^2}{\pi}e^{-2\alpha M_ex}\left[\frac{1}{4\alpha M_ex}-\ln 4\alpha M_ex+\frac{5}{2}-C-2\alpha M_ex\right]. \tag{27}$$

The result of analytical integration is presented as an expansion in M_e/M_μ:

$$c_1=c_0\left[\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}+\frac{8}{9}\frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2}\left(\frac{1}{4}+\ln\frac{3}{2}-\ln\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\right)\right]=\begin{cases}8.467\ MHz\\22.302\ MHz\end{cases}. \tag{28}$$

III. EFFECTS OF THE VACUUM POLARIZATION

The vacuum polarization (VP) effects lead to the appearance of new terms in the Hamiltonian which we denote ΔH_{VP} in (1). The ratio of the electron Compton wave length to the Bohr radius in the subsystem $(\mu_3^{6,7}Li)^{++}$: $Zm_\mu\alpha/m_e=2.96185\ldots$ is not small value. So, we can not use for the calculation of VP effects an expansion over α. In this section we present a calculation of vacuum polarization corrections to hyperfine structure in the first and second orders of perturbation theory. A modification of the Coulomb potentials due to VP effects is described by the following relations [24, 25]:

$$\Delta V^{eN}_{VP}(x_e)=\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)\left(-\frac{3\alpha}{x_e}\right)e^{-2m_e\xi x_e}d\xi,\quad\rho(\xi)=\frac{\sqrt{\xi^2-1}(2\xi^2+1)}{\xi^4}, \tag{29}$$

$$\Delta V_{VP}^{\mu N}(x_\mu) = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)\left(-\frac{3\alpha}{x_\mu}\right)e^{-2m_e\xi x_\mu}d\xi, \tag{30}$$

$$\Delta V_{VP}^{e\mu}(|\mathbf{x}_e-\mathbf{x}_\mu|) = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)\frac{\alpha}{x_{e\mu}}e^{-2m_e\xi x_{e\mu}}d\xi, \tag{31}$$

where $x_{e\mu}=|\mathbf{x}_e-\mathbf{x}_\mu|$. They give contributions to hyperfine splitting in the second order perturbation theory and are discussed below. In the first order perturbation theory the contribution of the vacuum polarization is connected with a modification of hyperfine splitting part of the Hamiltonian (4) (the amplitude in Fig. 2(a)). In the coordinate representation it is determined by the integral expressions [26]:

$$\Delta V_{VP}^{hfs,e\mu}(\mathbf{x}_{e\mu}) = -\frac{8\alpha}{3m_em_\mu}(\mathbf{S}_e\cdot\mathbf{S}_\mu)\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{x_{e\mu}})-\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{x_{e\mu}}e^{-2m_e\xi x_{e\mu}}\right], \tag{32}$$

$$\Delta V_{VP}^{hfs,eN}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \frac{8\alpha g_N}{6m_em_p}(\mathbf{S}_e\cdot\mathbf{I})\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{x_e})-\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{x_e}e^{-2m_e\xi x_e}\right]. \tag{33}$$

Averaging the potential (32) over the wave function (3) we obtain the following contribution to the coefficient b:

$$b_{vp} = \frac{8\alpha^2}{9m_em_\mu}\frac{(2\alpha M_e)^3(3\alpha M_\mu)^3}{\pi^3}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int d\mathbf{x}_e\int d\mathbf{x}_\mu e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_\mu}e^{-4\alpha M_e x_e}\times \tag{34}$$

$$\times\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e)-\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{|\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e|}e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e|}\right].$$

There are two integrals over the muon and electron coordinates in (34) which can be calculated analytically:

$$I_1 = \int d\mathbf{x}_e\int d\mathbf{x}_\mu e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_\mu}e^{-4\alpha M_e x_e}\pi\delta(\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e) = \frac{\pi^2}{(3\alpha M_\mu)^3\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^3}, \tag{35}$$

$$I_2 = \int d\mathbf{x}_e\int d\mathbf{x}_\mu e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_\mu}e^{-4\alpha M_e x_e}\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{|\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e|}e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_\mu-\mathbf{x}_e|} = \tag{36}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2 m_e^2\xi^2}{(3\alpha M_\mu)^5}\frac{\left[\frac{4M_e^2}{9M_\mu^2}+\left(1+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)^2+\frac{M_e}{3M_\mu}\left(6+\frac{4m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)\right]}{\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^3\left(1+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)^2\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)^2}.$$

They are divergent separately in the subsequent integration over the parameter ξ. But their sum is finite and can be written in the integral form:

$$b_{vp} = \nu_F\frac{2\alpha M_e}{9\pi M_\mu\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^3}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\frac{\left[\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}+2\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\left(2+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)\right]}{\left(1+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)^2\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)^2} = \begin{cases}0.701\ MHz\\ 0.706\ MHz\end{cases}, \tag{37}$$

The order of this contribution is determined by two small parameters α and M_e/M_μ which are written explicitly. The correction b_{vp} is of the fifth order in α and the first order in M_e/M_μ. The contribution of the muon vacuum polarization to hyperfine splitting is

negligibly small. One should expect that two-loop vacuum polarization contributions to the hyperfine structure are suppressed relative to the one-loop VP contribution by the factor α/π. This means that at present level of accuracy we can neglect these corrections because their numerical value is small. Higher orders of the perturbation theory which contain one-loop vacuum polarization and the Coulomb interaction (2) lead to the recoil corrections of order $\nu_F \alpha \frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2} \ln \frac{M_\mu}{M_e}$. Such terms are included in the theoretical error.

Similar contribution to the coefficient c of order α^6 can be found analytically using the potential (33) ($\alpha_1 = 2\alpha M_e/m_e$):

$$c_{vp} = \nu_F \frac{\alpha g_N m_\mu}{6\pi m_p} \frac{\sqrt{1-\alpha_1^2}(6\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^3 - 3\pi) + (6 - 3\alpha_1^2 + 6\alpha_1^4)\arccos\alpha_1}{3\alpha_1^3\sqrt{1-\alpha_1^2}} = \begin{cases} 0.066\ MHz \\ 0.175\ MHz \end{cases}, \tag{38}$$

Let us consider corrections of the electron vacuum polarization (29)-(31) in the second order perturbation theory (SOPT) (the amplitude in Fig. 2(b)). The contribution of the Coulomb electron-nucleus interaction (29) to the hyperfine splitting can be written as follows:

$$b^{e-N}_{vp,\ SOPT} = \frac{4\pi\alpha g_e g_\mu}{3 m_e m_\mu} \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_3 \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi) d\xi \psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\times \tag{39}$$

$$\times \sum_{n,n'\neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{\mu 0} + E_{e0} - E_{\mu n} - E_{en'}} \left(\frac{-3\alpha}{x_1}\right) e^{-2m_e\xi x_1} \psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1),$$

where the indices at the coefficient b indicate vacuum polarization contribution (VP) in the second order PT (SOPT) when the electron-nucleus Coulomb VP potential is considered. The summation in (39) is carried out over the complete system of the eigenstates of the electron and muon excluding the state with $n, n' = 0$. The computation of the expression (39) is simplified with the use of the orthogonality condition for the muon wave functions:

$$b^{e-N}_{vp,\ SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{2\alpha M_e^2}{9\pi M_\mu^2} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi) d\xi \int_0^\infty x_3^2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty x_1 dx_1 e^{-x_1 \frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\left(1+\frac{m_e\xi}{2\alpha M_e}\right)} e^{-x_3\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)} \times \tag{40}$$

$$\Big[\frac{3M_\mu}{2M_e x_>} - \ln\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<\right) - \ln\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_>\right) + Ei\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<\right) + \frac{7}{2} - 2C - \frac{M_e}{3M_\mu}(x_1+x_3) +$$

$$+ \frac{1 - e^{\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<}}{\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<}\Big] = \begin{cases} 1.136\ MHz \\ 1.137\ MHz \end{cases},$$

It is necessary to emphasize that the transformation of the expression (39) into (40) is carried out with the use of (16).

The contribution (40) has the same order of the magnitude $O(\alpha^5 \frac{M_e}{M_\mu})$ as previous correction (37) in the first order perturbation theory. Similar calculation can be performed in the case of muon-nucleus Coulomb vacuum polarization potential (30). An intermediate electron state is the $1S$-state and the reduced Coulomb Green's function of the system transforms to the Green's function of the muon. The correction of the operator (30) to the hyperfine splitting (the coefficient b) is obtained in the following integral form:

$$b^{\mu-N}_{vp\ SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi) d\xi \int_0^\infty x_3^2 dx_3 \int_0^\infty x_2 dx_2 e^{-x_3\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)} e^{-x_2\left(1+\frac{m_e\xi}{3M_\mu\alpha}\right)} \times \tag{41}$$

$$\times\left[\frac{1}{x_>}-\ln x_>-\ln x_<+Ei(x_<)+\frac{7}{2}-2C-\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}+\frac{1-e^{x_<}}{x_<}\right]=\begin{cases}0.694\ MHz\\0.693\ MHz\end{cases},$$

The vacuum polarization correction to HFS which is determined by the operator (31) in the second order perturbation theory is the most difficult for the calculation. Indeed, in this case we have to consider the intermediate excited states both for the muon and electron. We have divided total contribution into two parts. The first part in which the intermediate muon is in the $1S$-state can be written as:

$$b^{\mu-e}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0)=\frac{256\alpha^2(2\alpha M_e)^3(3\alpha M_\mu)^3}{9m_em_\mu}\int_0^\infty x_3^2dx_3e^{-\alpha(2M_e+6M_\mu)x_3}\times \tag{42}$$

$$\times\int_0^\infty x_1^2dx_1e^{-2\alpha M_ex_1}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\Delta V_{VP\ \mu}(x_1)G_e(x_1,x_3),$$

where the function $V_{VP\ \mu}(x_1)$ is equal

$$\Delta V_{VP\ \mu}(x_1)=\int d\mathbf{x}_2e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_2}\frac{(3\alpha M_\mu)^3}{\pi}\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2|}e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_1-\mathbf{x}_2|}= \tag{43}$$

$$=\frac{108\alpha^4M_\mu^3}{x_1(36\alpha^2M_\mu^2-4m_e^2\xi^2)^2}\left[12\alpha M_\mu\left(e^{-2m_e\xi x_1}-e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_1}\right)+x_1(4m_e^2\xi^2-36\alpha^2M_\mu^2)e^{-6\alpha M_\mu x_1}\right].$$

After substitution (43) into (42) numerical integration gives the result:

$$b^{\mu-e}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0)=\begin{cases}-0.310\ MHz\\-0.309\ MHz\end{cases}, \tag{44}$$

Second part of the vacuum polarization correction to the hyperfine splitting due to the electron-muon interaction can be presented as follows:

$$b^{\mu-e}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq0)=-\frac{4\alpha^2}{9}\frac{g_eg_\mu}{m_em_\mu}\int d\mathbf{x}_3\int d\mathbf{x}_2\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\psi^*_{\mu0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\times \tag{45}$$

$$\times\sum_{n\neq0}\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)\frac{M_e}{2\pi}\frac{e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}\frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}\psi_{\mu0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1),$$

where we have replaced exact electron Coulomb Green's function by free electron Green's function. We also replace the electron wave functions by their values at the origin neglecting higher order recoil corrections. After that the integration over $\mathbf{x}_1$ can be done analytically:

$$J=\int d\mathbf{x}_1\frac{e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}\frac{e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_2-\mathbf{x}_1|}=-\frac{4\pi}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}\frac{1}{\beta^2-4m_e^2\xi^2}\left[e^{-\beta|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}-e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}\right]= \tag{46}$$

$$=2\pi\left[\frac{\left(1-e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}\right)}{2m_e^2\xi^2|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}-\frac{\beta}{2m_e^2\xi^2}+\frac{\left(1-e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}\right)\beta^2}{8m_e^4\xi^4|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}+\frac{\beta^2|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}{4m_e^2\xi^2}-\right.$$

$$\left.-\frac{\beta^3}{8m_e^4\xi^4}-\frac{\beta^3(\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1)^2}{12m_e^2\xi^2}+...\right],$$

where we perform an expansion of the first exponent in square brackets in powers of $\beta|\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2|$. For further transformation the completeness condition is useful:

$$\sum_{n\neq 0} \psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2) = \delta(\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2) - \psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2). \tag{47}$$

The wave function orthogonality leads to the zero results for the second and fifth terms in the square brackets of (46). The first term in (46) gives the leading order contribution in two small parameters α and M_e/M_μ ($\gamma = m_e\xi/3\alpha M_\mu$):

$$b^{\mu-e}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0) = b_{11} + b_{12} = \begin{cases} -0.432\ MHz \\ -0.431\ MHz \end{cases},\ b_{11} = -\frac{3\alpha^2 M_e}{8m_e}\nu_F, \tag{48}$$

$$b_{12} = \nu_F \frac{\alpha^2 M_e}{24\pi m_e}\int_1^\infty \frac{\rho(\xi)d\xi}{\xi}\frac{[16+\gamma(5\gamma(\gamma+4)+29)]}{(1+\gamma)^4}. \tag{49}$$

Numerical value of the sum $b_{11} + b_{12}$ is included in Table I. It is important to calculate also the contributions of other terms of the expression (46) to the hyperfine splitting. Taking the fourth term in (46) which is proportional to $\beta^2 = 2M_e(E_{\mu n} - E_{\mu 0})$ we have performed the sequence of transformations in the coordinate representation:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{\mu n}\int d\mathbf{x}_2\int d\mathbf{x}_3\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)|\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2|\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2) = \tag{50}$$

$$= \int d\mathbf{x}_2\int d\mathbf{x}_3\delta(\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2)\left[-\frac{\nabla_3^2}{2M_\mu}|\mathbf{x}_3 - \mathbf{x}_2|\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\right]\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2).$$

Evidently, we have the divergent expression in (50) due to the presence of the δ-function. The same divergence occurs in the other term containing β^2 in the square brackets of (46). But their sum is finite and can be calculated analytically with the result:

$$b_{\beta^2} = \nu_F\frac{3\alpha^2 M_e^2}{8m_e M_\mu}\left(1 + \frac{5}{8}\frac{\alpha^2 M_\mu^2}{m_e^2}\right). \tag{51}$$

Numerical value of this correction is essentially smaller than the leading order term. Other terms in (46) give negligibly small corrections.

The Coulomb vacuum polarization (29) does not contain the muon coordinate, so, its contribution to the coefficient c in the second order PT can be derived taking $n = 0$ for the muon state in the Coulomb Green's function. Moreover, the $\delta(\mathbf{x}_e)$ function in (4) leads to the appearance of the electron Green's function with one zero argument. Corresponding value of hyperfine splitting is equal

$$c^{e-N}_{vp,\ SOPT} = \nu_F\frac{\alpha m_\mu g_e g_N}{4\pi m_p}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\frac{2a_1^2 + 3a_1 + 2a_1\ln a_1 - 2}{2a_1^3} = \begin{cases} 0.104\ MHz \\ 0.274\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{52}$$

The vacuum polarization in the Coulomb $(\mu - N)$ interaction does not contribute to c in SOPT because of the orthogonality of the muon wave functions. Let us consider correction to the coefficient c which arises from (31) in SOPT. Only intermediate muon state with $n = 0$ in the Green's function gives the contribution in this case. Using (27) we make



FIG. 3: Vacuum polarization effects in the second order perturbation theory. The dashed line represents the first part of the potential ΔH (3). The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential.

integration over electron coordinates and present this correction in the form ($\gamma = m_e\xi/3\alpha M_\mu$, $\gamma_1 = 2M_e/3M_\mu$):

$$c^{e-\mu}_{vp,\ SOPT} = -\nu_F \frac{2\alpha m_\mu g_N M_e^2}{27\pi m_p M_\mu^2} \int_1^\infty \frac{\rho(\xi)d\xi}{(1-\gamma^2)^2} \int_0^\infty x e^{-\gamma_1 x} dx \times \tag{53}$$

$$\times \left[e^{-\gamma x} - e^{-x} + \frac{x}{2}e^{-x}\left(\gamma^2 - 1\right)\right] \left[\frac{1}{\gamma_1 x} - \ln\gamma_1 x + \frac{5}{2} - C - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 x\right] = \begin{cases} -0.018\ MHz \\ -0.047\ MHz \end{cases},$$

There exist another contributions of the second order perturbation theory in which we have the vacuum polarization perturbation connected with hyperfine splitting parts of the Breit potential (32)-(33) (see Fig. 3). Other perturbation potential in this case is determined by the first term of relation (2). We can divide the HFS correction of (33) into two parts. One part with $n = 0$ corresponds to muon ground state. The other part with $n \neq 0$ accounts for excited muon states. The δ-function term in (32) gives the following contribution to HFS at $n = 0$:

$$b^{(11)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0) = \nu_F \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \frac{11M_e}{24M_\mu}. \tag{54}$$

Obviously, this integral in the variable ξ is divergent. So, we have to consider the contribution of the second term of the potential (32) to hyperfine splitting which is determined by more complicated expression:

$$b^{(12)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0) = \frac{16\alpha^2 m_e^2}{9\pi m_e m_\mu} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)\xi^2 d\xi \int d\mathbf{x}_3 \psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\Delta V_1(\mathbf{x}_3) \times \tag{55}$$

$$\times \int \sum_{n'\neq 0} \frac{\psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\psi^*_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{e0} - E_{en'}} \Delta V_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1)d\mathbf{x}_1,$$

where $\Delta V_1(\mathbf{x}_3)$ is defined in (43) and $\Delta V_2(\mathbf{x}_1)$ in (15). Integrating in (55) over all coordinates we obtain the following result in the leading order in the ratio (M_e/M_μ):

$$b^{(12)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0) = -\nu_F \frac{m_e}{M_e} \frac{M_e^2}{216\pi M_\mu^2} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)\xi d\xi \frac{32 + 63\gamma + 44\gamma^2 + 11\gamma^3}{(1+\gamma)^4}. \tag{56}$$

This integral also has the divergence at large values of the parameter ξ. But the sum of integrals (54) and (56) is finite:

$$b^{(11)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0)+b^{(12)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n=0)=\nu_F\frac{\alpha M_e}{72\pi M_\mu}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\frac{11+12\gamma+3\gamma^2}{(1+\gamma)^4}=\begin{cases}0.067\ MHz\\0.067\ MHz\end{cases}. \tag{57}$$

Let us consider now the terms in the coefficient b with $n\neq 0$. The delta-like term of the potential (33) gives the following contribution to the HFS:

$$b^{(21)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=\nu_F\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\left(-\frac{35M_e}{24M_\mu}\right). \tag{58}$$

Another correction from the second term of the expression (33) can be simplified after the replacement of exact electron Green's function by free electron Green's function:

$$b^{(22)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=-\frac{16\alpha^3M_em_e^2}{9\pi m_em_\mu}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)\xi^2d\xi\int d\mathbf{x}_2\int d\mathbf{x}_3\times \tag{59}$$

$$\times\int d\mathbf{x}_4\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_4)\frac{e^{-2m_e\xi|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|}\sum_{n\neq 0}^{\infty}\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_4)\psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)$$

Analytical integration in (59) over all coordinates leads to the result:

$$b^{(22)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=-\nu_F\frac{2\alpha M_e}{9\pi M_\mu}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi[\frac{1}{\gamma}-\frac{1}{(1+\gamma)^4}(4+\frac{1}{\gamma}+10\gamma+\frac{215\gamma^2}{16}+\frac{35\gamma^3}{4}+\frac{35\gamma^4}{16})]. \tag{60}$$

The sum of expressions (58) and (60) gives again the finite contribution to the hyperfine splitting:

$$b^{(21)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)+b^{(22)}_{vp,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)= \tag{61}$$

$$=-\nu_F\frac{2\alpha M_e}{9\pi M_\mu}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\frac{35+76\gamma+59\gamma^2+16\gamma^3}{16(1+\gamma)^4}=\begin{cases}-0.432\ MHz\\-0.431\ MHz\end{cases}.$$

Absolute values of calculated VP corrections (38), (42), (44), (45), (47), (57), (61) are sufficiently large , their summary contribution to the hyperfine splitting (see Table I) is small because they have different signs.

The hyperfine splitting interaction (33) gives the contributions to the coefficient c in second order PT. Since the muon coordinate does not enter into the expression (33), we should set $n=0$ for the muon intermediate states in the Green's function. The initial formula for this correction is

$$c_{vp,\ SOPT}=\frac{8\alpha^3g_N}{9\pi m_em_p}\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\int d\mathbf{x}_1\int d\mathbf{x}_3\int d\mathbf{x}_4|\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)|^2\psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_4)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1)\times \tag{62}$$

$$\times\left[\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|}-\frac{1}{x_4}\right]G_e(\mathbf{x}_4,\mathbf{x}_1)\left(\pi\delta(\mathbf{x}_1)-\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{x_1}e^{-2m_e\xi x_1}\right).$$

The integration over $\mathbf{x}_3$ can be done analytically as in (15). Then it is useful to divide (62) into two parts. The coordinate integration in the first term with the δ - function is

performed by means of (28). In the second term of (62) we use the electron Green's function in the form (16). The summary result can be presented in the integral form in the leading order in M_e/M_μ:

$$c_{vp,\ SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{\alpha g_N m_\mu M_e}{18\pi m_p M_\mu} \int_1^\infty \rho(\xi) d\xi \frac{3 + 2\frac{m_e\xi}{3\alpha M_\mu}}{(1 + \frac{m_e\xi}{3\alpha M_\mu})^2} = \begin{cases} 0.017\ MHz \\ 0.044\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{63}$$

IV. NUCLEAR STRUCTURE AND RECOIL EFFECTS

Another set of significant corrections to hyperfine splitting of muonic lithium ions which we study in this work is determined by the nuclear structure and recoil [27–31]. We describe the charge and magnetic moment distributions of Li nucleus by means of two form factors $G_E(k^2)$ and $G_M(k^2)$ for which we use the dipole parameterization:

$$G_E(k^2) = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2},\ G_M(k^2) = \frac{G(0)}{\left(1 + \frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^2},\ G(0) = g_N \frac{m_N}{Z m_p}. \tag{64}$$

where the parameter Λ is related with the nucleus charge radius r_N: $\Lambda = \sqrt{12}/r_N$. In 1γ - interaction the nuclear structure correction to the coefficient c is determined by the amplitudes shown in Fig. 4. Purely point contribution in Fig. 4(b) leads to the HFS value (11). Then the nuclear structure correction is given by

$$c_{str,\ 1\gamma} = \nu_F \frac{g_e g_N m_\mu}{4 m_p} \left[\int \frac{G_M(x)}{G_M(0)} e^{-4\alpha M_e x} d\mathbf{x} - 1 \right] = \begin{cases} -0.283\ MHz \\ -0.707\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{65}$$



FIG. 4: Nuclear structure correction to coefficient c in 1γ interaction. The bold point represents the nuclear vertex operator. The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential.

Two-photon $(e - N)$ interaction amplitudes (see Fig. 5) give the contribution to HFS of order α^5. It can be presented in terms of the form factors G_E and G_M with the account of subtraction term as follows: [30, 32]:

$$c_{str,\ 2\gamma} = \nu_F \frac{3\alpha m_\mu g_e g_N}{2\pi^2 m_p} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{p^4} \frac{G_M(p)}{G_M(0)} \left[G_E(p) - 1 \right], \tag{66}$$

where the subtraction term contains magnetic form factor $G_M(p)$. Using the dipole parameterization (64) we can present last integral in analytical form:

$$c_{str,\ 2\gamma} = -\nu_F \frac{33\alpha M_e m_\mu g_e g_N}{16 m_p \Lambda} = \begin{cases} -0.195\ MHz \\ -0.486\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{67}$$



FIG. 5: Nuclear structure corrections to coefficient c in 2γ interactions. The bold point represents the nuclear vertex operator. The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential. The dashed line corresponds to the Coulomb potential.



FIG. 6: Nuclear structure corrections to coefficient c in second order PT. The bold point represents the nuclear vertex operator. The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential. The dashed line corresponds to the Coulomb potential. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green's function.

Other parts of the iteration contribution $\langle V_{1\gamma} \times G^f \times V_{1\gamma} \rangle^{hfs}_{str}$ are used in the second order PT (see Fig. 6).



FIG. 7: Nuclear structure correction to coefficient b in the second order perturbation theory. The wave line represents the hyperfine $(e-\mu)$ interaction. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green's function.

The nuclear structure corrections to the coefficient c in second order PT are presented in Fig. 6. Here we have two different contributions. First contribution is related with amplitudes in Fig. 6(a,b) when hyperfine part of one perturbation is determined by magnetic form factor G_M and the other perturbation is described by the nucleus charge radius r_N:

$$\Delta V^C_{str,e-N}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{3}\pi Z\alpha r_N^2 \delta(\mathbf{r}). \tag{68}$$

The general integral structure of this correction and its numerical value are as follows ($a_2 = 4\alpha M_e/\Lambda$):

$$c^{e-N}_{1,str,\ SOPT} = -\nu_F \frac{\alpha^2 r_N^2 M_e^2 g_e g_N m_\mu}{m_p} \int_0^\infty x^2 dx e^{-x(1+a_2)} \left(-\ln a_2 x + \frac{5}{2} - C - \frac{1}{2} a_2 x \right) = \quad (69)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -0.0003\ MHz \\ -0.0008\ MHz \end{cases},$$

Numerical value of the contribution $c^{e-N}_{1,str,\ SOPT}$ is obtained by means of the charge radii of nucleus ${}^{6,7}_{3}Li$ $r({}^{6}_{3}Li) = 2.589(39)$ fm and $r({}^{7}_{3}Li) = 2.444(42)$ fm [33]. The second nuclear structure contribution from amplitudes in Fig. 6(c,d) is evaluated by means of the potential ΔH (2) and the nucleus magnetic form factor. For the amplitude in Fig. 6(c) we make the integration over the muon coordinate in the muon state with $n = 0$ and present the correction to the coefficient c in the form ($a_3 = 6\alpha M_\mu/\Lambda$):

$$c^{e-N}_{2,str,\ SOPT} + c_1 = \nu_F \frac{2\alpha^2 M_e^2 m_\mu g_e g_N}{m_p \Lambda^2} \int_0^\infty x_1^2 dx_1 e^{-x_1(1+a_2)} \int_0^\infty x_2 dx_2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} a_3 x_2 \right) e^{-x_2(a_2+a_3)} \times \quad (70)$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{a_2 x_>} - \ln(a_2 x_>) - \ln(a_2 x_<) + Ei(a_2 x_<) + \frac{7}{2} - 2C - \frac{1}{2} a_2 (x_1 + x_2) + \frac{1 - e^{a_2 x_<}}{a_2 x_<} \right] =$$

$$= \begin{cases} 8.314\ MHz \\ 21.918\ MHz \end{cases}.$$

Subtracting the point contribution c_1 (28) we find

$$c^{e-N}_{2,str,\ SOPT} = \begin{cases} -0.153\ MHz \\ -0.384\ MHz \end{cases}. \quad (71)$$

There is the nuclear structure contribution to the coefficient b in second order PT which is presented in Fig. 7. If we consider the Coulomb interaction between the muon and nucleus, then the structure correction takes on the form:

$$b^{\mu-N}_{str} = \frac{32\pi^2\alpha^2}{3 m_e m_\mu} r_N^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} (3\alpha M_\mu)^{3/2} \int d\mathbf{x}_3 \psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3) |\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)|^2 G_\mu(\mathbf{x}_3, 0, E_{\mu 0}). \quad (72)$$

After that an analytical integration over the coordinate $\mathbf{x}_3$ in (72) can be carried out using the representation of the muon Green's function similar to expression (27). The result of the integration of order $O(\alpha^6)$ is written as an expansion in the ratio M_e/M_μ:

$$b^{\mu-N}_{str} = -\nu_F 24\alpha^2 M_\mu^2 r_N^2 \left(\frac{M_e}{M_\mu} - \frac{22}{9} \frac{M_e^2}{M_\mu^2} + \dots \right) = \begin{cases} -0.416\ MHz \\ -0.372\ MHz \end{cases}. \quad (73)$$

The same approach can be used in the calculation of the structure correction to electron-nucleus interaction. The electron feels as well the distribution of the nucleus electric charge. The corresponding contribution of the nuclear structure effect to hyperfine splitting is determined by the expression:

$$b^{e-N}_{str} = \frac{32\pi^2\alpha^2}{3 m_e m_\mu} r_N^2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_3 |\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)|^2 \psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3) G_e(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_1, E_{e0}) \psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1) \delta(\mathbf{x}_1). \quad (74)$$

Performing an analytical integration in (74) we obtain the following series:

$$b_{str}^{e-N} = -\nu_F 6\alpha^2 M_e M_\mu r_N^2 \left[1 - \frac{4M_e}{3M_\mu}\ln\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu} + \frac{4M_e^2}{9M_\mu^2}\left(6\ln\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu} - 4\right) + \ldots\right] = \begin{cases} -0.109\ MHz \\ -0.098\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{75}$$

We have included in Table I total nuclear structure contribution to the coefficient b which is equal to the sum of numerical values (73) and (75).



a b

FIG. 8: Two photon exchange amplitudes in the electron-muon hyperfine interaction.

Special attention has to be given to the recoil corrections connected with two-photon exchange diagrams shown in Fig. 8 in the case of the electron-muon interaction. The leading order recoil contribution to the interaction operator between the muon and electron is determined by the expression [10, 24, 34]:

$$\Delta V_{rec,\mu-e}^{hfs}(\mathbf{x}_{\mu e}) = -8\frac{\alpha^2}{m_\mu^2 - m_e^2}\ln\frac{m_\mu}{m_e}(\mathbf{s}_\mu\mathbf{s}_e)\delta(\mathbf{x}_{\mu e}). \tag{76}$$

Averaging the potential $\Delta V_{rec,\mu-e}^{hfs}$ over the wave functions (3) we obtain the recoil correction to the coefficient b:

$$b_{rec}^{\mu-e} = \nu_F\frac{3\alpha}{\pi}\frac{m_e m_\mu}{m_\mu^2 - m_e^2}\ln\frac{m_\mu}{m_e}\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^3} = \begin{cases} 6.430\ MHz \\ 6.431\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{77}$$

There exist also two-photon interactions between the bound particles of muonic lithium ions when one hyperfine photon transfers the interaction from the electron to muon and another Coulomb photon from the electron to the nucleus (or from the muon to the nucleus). Supposing that these amplitudes give smaller contribution to hyperfine splitting we included them in the theoretical error.

The first-order recoil correction $O(M_e/M_{Li})$ has a contribution from intermediate states in which the muon and electron are excited to P-states:

$$\Delta b_{rec,SOPT} = -\frac{32\Pi\alpha^3 M_e M_\mu}{m_e m_\mu M_{Li}}\int d\mathbf{x}_3\int d\mathbf{x}_2\int d\mathbf{x}_1\Psi_{\mu 0}^*(\mathbf{x}_3)\Psi_{e0}^*(\mathbf{x}_3)\times \tag{78}$$

$$\times\sum_{n,n'\neq 0}\frac{\Psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\Psi_{en'}(\mathbf{x}_3)\Psi_{\mu n}^*(\mathbf{x}_2)\Psi_{en'}^*(\mathbf{x}_1)}{E_{\mu 0}+E_{e0}-E_{\mu n}-E_{en'}}(\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_2)\Psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\Psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1).$$

In order to present an analytical estimate of this correction we transform (78) as in section II introducing free electron Green's function:

$$\Delta b_{rec,SOPT} = \frac{16\alpha^3 M_e^2 M_\mu}{m_e m_\mu M_{Li}} \int d\mathbf{x}_3 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \Psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\Psi^*_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3)\times \tag{79}$$

$$\times \sum_{n\neq 0} \Psi_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_3)\Psi^*_{\mu n}(\mathbf{x}_2)\frac{e^{-b|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}(\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_2)\Psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\Psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1).$$

After that the integration over $\mathbf{x}_1$ and expansion in b (or in $\sqrt{M_e/M_\mu}$) give the result:

$$\int d\mathbf{x}_1 (\mathbf{n}_1\cdot\mathbf{n}_2)\frac{e^{-b|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|}}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_1|} = 2\pi(\mathbf{n}_2\cdot\mathbf{n}_3)\left[\frac{4x_3}{3b} - \frac{x_3^2}{2} + \frac{2bx_3^3}{15} + \ldots\right]. \tag{80}$$

Taking first term in square brackets in (80) we make the angular integration and introduce the dimensionless variables in integrals with radial wave functions:

$$\delta b_{rec,SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{64M_e}{9M_{Li}}\sqrt{\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}}\sum_{n>1}\frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2-1}}\int_0^\infty x_3^3 R_{10}(x_3)R_{n1}(x_3)dx_3\int_0^\infty x_2^2 R_{10}(x_2)R_{n1}(x_2)dx_2. \tag{81}$$

Two contributions of discrete and continuous spectrum are the following:

$$\delta b^{disc}_{rec,SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{2^{11}M_e}{9M_{Li}}\sqrt{\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}}\sum_{n>1}\frac{n^6(n-1)^{2n-\frac{9}{2}}}{(n+1)^{2n+\frac{9}{2}}} = \begin{cases} 0.392\ MHz \\ 0.336\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{82}$$

$$\delta b^{cont}_{rec,SOPT} = \nu_F \frac{2^{11}M_e}{9M_{Li}}\sqrt{\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}}\int_0^\infty \frac{ke^{-\frac{4}{k}arctg(k)}dk}{(1-e^{-2\pi/k})(k^2+1)^{3/2}} = \begin{cases} 0.212\ MHz \\ 0.182\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{83}$$

The calculation of second term in square brackets in (80) is essentially simpler and gives the result

$$\delta b^{(2)}_{rec,SOPT} = -\nu_F \frac{2M_e^2}{3M_\mu M_{Li}} = -\begin{cases} 0.011\ MHz \\ 0.011\ MHz \end{cases}. \tag{84}$$

V. ELECTRON VERTEX CORRECTIONS

In the initial approximation the potential of hyperfine splitting is determined by (4). It leads to the energy splitting of order α^4. In QED perturbation theory there is the electron vertex correction to the potential (4) which is defined by the diagram in Fig. 9(a). In momentum representation the corresponding operator of hyperfine interaction has the form:

$$\Delta V^{hfs}_{vertex}(k^2) = -\frac{8\alpha^2}{3m_e m_\mu}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_e\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu}{4}\right)\left[G^{(e)}_M(k^2)-1\right], \tag{85}$$

where $G^{(e)}_M(k^2)$ is the electron magnetic form factor. We extracted for convenience the factor α/π from $\left[G^{(e)}_M(k^2)-1\right]$. The usual approximation for the electron magnetic form factor $G^{(e)}_M(k^2)\approx G^{(e)}_M(0) = 1+\kappa_e$ is not sufficiently accurate for the case considered here. Indeed,

characteristic momentum of the exchanged photon is $k \sim \alpha M_\mu$. It is impossible to neglect it in the magnetic form factor as compared with the electron mass m_e. So, we should use exact one-loop expression for the magnetic form factor [25]. Trying to improve the estimation of the correction due to the electron anomalous magnetic moment we will use further exact one-loop expression for the Pauli form factor $g(k^2)$ known from the QED calculation (see [25]) setting $G_M^{(e)}(k^2) - 1 \approx g(k^2)$. Note that the electron form factor corresponding to the anomalous magnetic moment is denoted frequently by F_2.



FIG. 9: The electron vertex corrections. The dashed line represents the Coulomb photon. The wave line represents the hyperfine part of the Breit potential. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green's function.

Using the Fourier transform of the potential (85) and averaging the obtained expression over wave functions (4) we represent the electron vertex correction to hyperfine splitting as follows:

$$b_{vert,\ 1\gamma} = \nu_F \frac{(1+\kappa_\mu) m_e^3 M_e}{81\pi^2\alpha^2 M_\mu^4} \int_0^\infty k^2 dk \left[G_M^{(e)}(k^2) - 1\right] \times \tag{86}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left[1 + \left(\frac{m_e}{6\alpha M_\mu}\right)^2 k^2\right] \left[\left(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_e}{6\alpha M_\mu}\right)^2 k^2\right]^2 \right\}^{-1} = \begin{cases} 40.956\ MHz \\ 40.956\ MHz \end{cases},$$

Let us remark that the contribution (86) is of order α^5. Numerical value (86) is obtained after numerical integration with the one-loop expression of the electron magnetic form factor $G_M^{(e)}(k^2)$. If we use the value $G_M^{(e)}(k^2 = 0)$ then the electron vertex correction is equal 41.959 MHz. So, using the exact expression of the electron form factor in the one-loop approximation we observe the 1 MHz decrease of the vertex correction to the hyperfine splitting from 1γ interaction. Taking the expression (85) as an additional perturbation potential we have to calculate its contribution to HFS in the second order perturbation theory (see the diagram in Fig 9(b)). In this case the dashed line represents the Coulomb Hamiltonian ΔH (2). Following the method of the calculation formulated in previous sections we divide again total contribution from the amplitude in Fig. 9(b) into two parts which correspond to the muon ground state ($n = 0$) and muon excited intermediate states ($n \neq 0$). In this way the first contribution with $n = 0$ takes the form:

$$b_{vert,\ SOPT}(n=0) = \frac{8\alpha^2}{3\pi^2 m_e m_\mu} \int_0^\infty k \left[G_M^{(e)}(k^2) - 1\right] dk \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_3 \psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_3) \times \tag{87}$$

$$\times\Delta\tilde{V}_1(k,\mathbf{x}_3)G_e(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_3)V_\mu(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_{e0}(\mathbf{x}_1),$$

where $V_\mu(\mathbf{x}_1)$ is defined by (15) and

$$\Delta\tilde{V}_1(k,\mathbf{x}_3)=\int d\mathbf{x}_4\psi^*_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_4)\frac{\sin(k|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|)}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|}\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_4)=\frac{\sin\left(\frac{kx_3}{6\alpha M_\mu}\right)}{x_3}\frac{1}{\left[1+\frac{k^2}{(6\alpha M_\mu)^2}\right]^2}. \quad (88)$$

Substituting the electron Green's function (28) in (88) we transform desired relation to the integral form:

$$b_{vert,\ SOPT}(n=0)=\nu_F\frac{2\alpha}{81\pi^2}\left(\frac{m_e}{\alpha M_\mu}\right)^2\left(\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\right)^2\int_0^\infty\frac{k\left[G_M^{(e)}(k^2)-1\right]dk}{\left[1+\frac{m_e^2k^2}{(6\alpha M_\mu)^2}\right]^2}\times \quad (89)$$

$$\times\int_0^\infty x_3e^{-\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_3}\sin\left(\frac{m_ek}{6\alpha M_\mu}x_3\right)dx_3\int_0^\infty x_1\left(1+\frac{x_1}{2}\right)e^{-x_1\left(1+\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}\right)}dx_1\left[\frac{3M_\mu}{2M_ex_>}-\ln(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<)-\right.$$

$$\left.-\ln(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_>)+Ei(\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<)+\frac{7}{2}-2C-\frac{M_e}{3M_\mu}(x_1+x_3)+\frac{1-e^{\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<}}{\frac{2M_e}{3M_\mu}x_<}\right]=\begin{cases}0.054\ MHz\\0.054\ MHz\end{cases}$$

All integrations over the coordinate x_1, x_3 are carried out analytically and last integrations in k is performed numerically. We omit here intermediate expression before the integration in k because of its bulky form. The second part of the vertex contribution (Fig. 9(b)) with $n\neq 0$ can be reduced to the following form after several simplifications which are discussed in section II:

$$b_{vert,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=\nu_F\frac{27\alpha^4M_eM_\mu^3}{\pi^3}\int e^{-3\alpha M_\mu x_2}d\mathbf{x}_2\int e^{-2\alpha M_e x_3}d\mathbf{x}_3\int e^{-3\alpha M_\mu x_4}d\mathbf{x}_4\times \quad (90)$$

$$\times\int_0^\infty k\sin(k|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|)\left(G_M^{(e)}(k^2)-1\right)\frac{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_2|}{|\mathbf{x}_3-\mathbf{x}_4|}\left[\delta(\mathbf{x}_4-\mathbf{x}_2)-\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_4)\psi_{\mu 0}(\mathbf{x}_2)\right].$$

We divide expression (90) into two parts as provided by two terms in square brackets of (90). After that the integration in (90) over coordinates $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_3$ is carried out analytically. In the issue we obtain ($\gamma_2=m_ek/6\alpha M_\mu$):

$$b_{1,vert,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=\nu_F\frac{\alpha}{162\pi^2}\left(\frac{m_e}{\alpha M_\mu}\right)^3\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\int_0^\infty k^2\left[G_M^{(e)}(k^2)-1\right]dk\frac{1}{(\gamma_1^2-1)^3}\times \quad (91)$$

$$\times\left[\frac{4\gamma_1(\gamma_1^2-1)}{(1+\gamma_2^2)^3}-\frac{\gamma_1(3+\gamma_1^2)}{(1+\gamma_2^2)^2}+\frac{4\gamma_1^2(\gamma_1^2-1)}{(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)^3}+\frac{1+3\gamma_1^2}{(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)^2}\right]=\begin{cases}0.472\ MHz\\0.472\ MHz\end{cases},$$

$$b_{2,vert,\ SOPT}(n\neq 0)=-\nu_F\frac{\alpha}{162\pi^2}\left(\frac{m_e}{\alpha M_\mu}\right)^3\frac{M_e}{M_\mu}\int_0^\infty k^2\left[G_M^{(e)}(k^2)-1\right]dk\times \quad (92)$$

$$\times\frac{1}{(1+\gamma_2^2)^2}\left[\frac{2}{(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)}-\frac{(\gamma_1+1)}{[(1+\gamma_1)^2+\gamma_2^2]^2}-\frac{2}{(\gamma_1+1)^2+\gamma_2^2}-\frac{\gamma_2^2-3\gamma_1^2}{(\gamma_1^2+\gamma_2^2)^3}\right]=\begin{cases}-0.582\ MHz\\-0.582\ MHz\end{cases},$$

It is necessary to emphasize that the theoretical error in the summary contribution $b_{1,vert,\ SOPT}(n \neq 0) + b_{2,vert,\ SOPT}(n \neq 0)$ is determined by the factor $\sqrt{M_e/M_\mu}$ connected with the omitted terms of the used expansion. It can amount to 10% of total results (91)-(92) that is the value near 0.010 MHz.

The electron vertex corrections investigated in this section have the order α^5 in the hyperfine splitting interval. Summary value of all obtained contributions in second order PT is equal to -0.056 MHz (^6_3Li) and (^7_3Li). Summing this number with the correction (86) we obtain the value 40.900 MHz. It differs by a significant value 1.059 MHz from the result 41.959 MHz which was obtained in the approximation of vertex correction by the electron anomalous magnetic moment.

TABLE I: Hyperfine splitting of the ground state in muonic lithium ions $(\mu\ e\ ^{6,7}_3Li)^+$.

Contribution to the HFS	b, MHz		c, MHz		Equation
The Fermi splitting	36140.290	36141.701	1674.700	4422.900	(10)-(11)
Recoil correction of order $\alpha^4(m_e/m_\mu)$	-512.303	-511.012	8.467	22.302	(25),(28)
Correction of muon anomalous magnetic moment of order α^5	42.137	42.138	—	—	(10)
Relativistic correction of order α^6	5.773	5.774	0.535	1.413	[7]
One-loop VP correction in 1γ interaction of orders α^5, α^6	0.701	0.706	0.066	0.175	(37),(38)
One-loop VP correction in the second order PT	0.723	0.726	0.103	0.271	(40),(41), (44),(48), (52),(53), (57),(61), (63)
Nuclear structure correction in 1γ interaction of order α^6	—	—	-0.283	-0.707	(65)
Nuclear structure correction in 2γ interactions of order α^5	—	—	-0.195	-0.486	(67)
Nuclear structure correction of order α^6 in second order PT	-0.525	-0.470	-0.153	-0.385	(69),(71), (73),(75),
Recoil correction of order $\alpha^5(m_e/m_\mu)\ln(m_e/m_\mu)$	6.430	6.431	—	—	(77)
Recoil correction of order $\alpha^4(M_e/M_{Li})\sqrt{M_e/M_\mu}$	0.593	0.507	—	—	(82)-(84)
Electron vertex correction of order α^5 in 1γ interaction	40.956	40.956	—	—	(86)
Electron vertex correction of order α^5 in second order PT	-0.056	-0.056	—	—	(89),(91), (92)
Summary contribution	35724.719	35727.401	1683.240	4445.483	

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have performed the analytical and numerical calculation of hyperfine splitting intervals in muonic lithium systems $(\mu\, e\, {}^{6,7}_{3}Li)^+$ on the basis of perturbation theory method suggested previously in the case of muonic helium in [6]. To increase the accuracy of the calculation we take into account several important corrections to hyperfine splitting of the ground state of orders α^5 and α^6 connected with the vacuum polarization, nuclear structure, recoil effects and electron vertex corrections. Numerical values of different contributions to hyperfine structure are presented in Table I.

Let us list a number of basic features of the calculation.

1. Muonic lithium atoms have a complicated hyperfine structure which appears due to the interaction of magnetic moments of three particles. We investigate small hyperfine splittings which can be important for experimental study.

2. In this problem there are two small parameters of fine structure constant and the ratio of particle masses which can be used for a construction of the perturbation interactions. Basic contributions appear in orders α^4, α^5 and α^6 with the account of first and second order recoil effects.

3. The vacuum polarization effects are important in order to obtain theoretical splittings with high accuracy. They give rise to the modification of the two-particle interaction potential which provides the $\alpha^5 \frac{M_e}{M_\mu}$-order corrections to the hyperfine structure. We take into account the vacuum polarization corrections in first and second orders of perturbation theory.

4. The electron vertex corrections to the coefficient b should be considered with the exact account of the one-loop magnetic form factor of the electron because the characteristic momentum incoming in the electron vertex operator is of order of the electron mass.

5. Nuclear structure corrections to the ground state hyperfine splitting are expressed in terms of electromagnetic form factors and the charge radius of two Li nuclei.

6. Relativistic correction is obtained by means of the expression from [7]:

$$\Delta\nu_{rel} = \nu_F \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}(Z_1\alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{3}(Z_2\alpha)^2\right), \tag{93}$$

which gives contributions to both coefficients b and c (see Table I).

Using total numerical values of coefficients b and c presented in Table I we find the following hyperfine splittings for muonic lithium ions: $\Delta\nu_1(\mu\ e\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^+$=21572.159 MHz and $\Delta\nu_2(\mu\ e\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^+$=14152.560 MHz; $\Delta\nu_1(\mu\ e\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^+$=21733.056 MHz and $\Delta\nu_2(\mu\, e\, {}^{7}_{3}Li)^+$=13994.345 MHz. There is the only known to us theoretical calculation of hyperfine structure in muonic lithium ions in [12] which was performed by variational method and gave hyperfine splittings: $\Delta\nu_1(\mu\, e\, {}^{6}_{3}Li)^+$=21567.112 MHz and $\Delta\nu_2(\mu\, e\, {}^{6}_{3}Li)^+$=14148.678 MHz; and $\Delta\nu_1(\mu\ e\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^+$=21729.22 MHz $\Delta\nu_2(\mu\ e\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^+$=13989.19 MHz.

An analysis of separate contributions to hyperfine structure coefficients b and c in Table I shows that relativistic and electron vertex corrections have large value. So, for example, the difference of our calculation from results in [13] for the electron vertex corrections consists the value of order 1 MHz and relativistic corrections amount 6 MHz. The recoil contribution from 2γ exchange amplitudes has similar value ~ 6 MHz. As it follows from the calculation in [13] only expectation values of the delta-functions are taken into account with very high accuracy, but different corrections to the leading order Hamiltonian were omitted. Nonrelativistic Hamiltonian is used in [13] and the electron vertex corrections are taken into account in terms

of anomalous magnetic moment. So, the difference in total results between our calculation and [13] arises first of all from these terms. The vacuum polarization and nuclear structure corrections influence on total result to a smaller degree. Numerical values of fundamental physical constants in our work and [13] coincide. It is useful also to compare our results with [13] in the order α^4 with the account of electron vertex corrections in terms of electron AMM. Such comparison shows that the difference between our work and [13] which lies in the region $0.7 \div 1.5$ MHz for separate hyperfine splittings remains. We consider that it is related with terms of order $\nu_F M_e^2/M_\mu^2$ which are not taken into account exactly in our work. We included this term in total theoretical error. Further improvement of obtained in this work results can be achieved in the calculation of second order corrections in two small parameters α and M_e/M_μ.

The estimate of theoretical uncertainty can be done in terms of the Fermi energy ν_F and small parameters α and the ratio of the particle masses. On our opinion, there exist several main sources of the theoretical errors. First of all, as we mentioned above in section II the recoil corrections of order M_e^2/M_μ^2 are not taken into account exactly because of a replacement of the electron Green's function by free one. Numerically this contribution can give 0.88 MHz. The second source of the error is related to contributions of order $\alpha^2\nu_F$ which appears both from QED amplitudes and in higher orders of the perturbation theory. In the case of two-particle bound states these corrections were calculated in [31, 35–37]. Considering that they should be studied more carefully for three-particle bound states we included a correction $\alpha^2\nu_F \approx 1.92$ MHz in theoretical error. Another part of theoretical error is determined by two-photon three-body exchange amplitudes mentioned above. They are of the fifth order over α and contain the recoil parameter $(m_e/m_\alpha)\ln(m_e/m_\alpha)$, so that their possible numerical value can be equal ± 0.22 MHz. Thereby, total theoretical uncertainty is not exceeded ± 2.13 MHz. To obtain this estimate we add the above mentioned uncertainties in quadrature.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to A.M. Frolov for sending us paper [13] and useful communications. The work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant 14-02-00173) and the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia under Competitiveness Enhancement Program 2013-2020.

[1] R. Pohl, A. Antognini, F. Nez et al., Nature **466**, 213 (2010); A. Antognini et al., Science **339**, 417 (2013); R. Pohl, R. Gilman, G.A. Miller, and K. Pachucki, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **63**, 175 (2013).

[2] T. Nebel, F.D. Amaro, A. Antognini et al. Hyperfine Int. **212**, 195 (2012); A. Antognini, F. Kottmann, F. Biraben, et al., Ann. Phys. **331**, 127 (2013).

[3] A.V. Volotka, V.M. Shabaev, G. Plunien and G. Soff, Eur. Phys. J. D **33**, 23 (2005)

[4] G.W.F. Drake and L.L. Byer, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 713 (1985); A.H. Gomes, V. A. Kostelecky and A.J. Vargas, arXiv:1407:7748 [hep-ph]; M. Moumni and A. Benslama, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A **28**, 1350139 (2013).

[5] M. Gladish et al., Proc. 8th Int. Conf. on Atom. Phys., ed. I. Lindgren, A. Rosen and S. Svanberg, Plenum, New York, 1983.

[6] S.D. Lakdawala and P. Mohr, Phys. Rev. A **24**, 2224 (1981); **22**, 1572 (1980); **29**, 1047 (1984).

[7] K.-N. Huang and V.W. Hughes, Phys. Rev. A **26**, 2330 (1982); **20**, 706 (1979).

[8] E. Borie, Z. Phys. A **291**, 107 (1979).

[9] R.L. Drachman, J. Phys. B **16**, L749 (1983); Phys. Rev. A **22**, 1755 (1980);

[10] M.-K. Chen, J. Phys. B **26**, 2263 (1993); **23**, 4041 (1990).

[11] V.L. Yakhontov and M.Ya. Amusia, J. Phys. B **27**, 3743 (1994); J. Phys. B **16**, L71 (1983).

[12] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 022509 (2000); **57**, 2436 (1998); Phys. Lett. A **376**, 2548 (2012).

[13] A.M. Frolov, Phys. Lett. A **357**, 334 (2006).

[14] V.I. Korobov, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 064503 (2000).

[15] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 032508 (2001).

[16] A.A. Krutov and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 032513 (2008); Eur. Phys. J. D **62**, 163 (2011); Phys. Rev. A **86**, 052501 (2012).

[17] I.I. Sobel'man, Introduction to the theory of atomic spectra, M., Fizmatlit, 1963.

[18] P.J. Mohr, B.N. Taylor and D.B. Newell , Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1533 (2012).

[19] S.G. Karshenboim, Ann. Phys. (Berlin) **525**, 472 (2013).

[20] N.J. Stone, Atom. Data and Nucl. Data Tables **90**, 75 (2005).

[21] H.F. Hameka, Jour. Chem. Phys. **47**, 2728 (1967).

[22] H.A. Bethe and E.E. Salpeter, Quantum mechanics of one- and two-electron atoms, Springer, Berlin, 1957.

[23] V.A. Fock, Principles of Quantum Mechanics, Nauka, Moscow, 1976.

[24] M.I. Eides, H. Grotch and V.A. Shelyuto, Phys. Rep. **342**, 62 (2001); Theory of Light Hydrogenic Bound States, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, V. 222 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelbeg, New York, 2007).

[25] V.B. Berestetskii, E.M. Lifshits and L.P. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, Nauka, Moscow, 1980.

[26] A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 022506 (2005); JETP **101**, 1021 (2005).

[27] H. Grotch and D.R. Yennie, Rev. Mod. Phys. **41**, 350 (1969).

[28] G.T. Bodwin and D.R. Yennie, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 498 (1988).

[29] R.N. Faustov and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **66**, 1719, (2003); JETP **88**, 672, (1999); JETP **98**, 39 (2004)

[30] A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012505 (2007); JETP **106**, 691 (2008).

[31] R.N. Faustov, A.P. Martynenko, G.A. Martynenko and V.V. Sorokin, Phys. Lett. B **733**, 354 (2014); Phys. Rev. A **90**, 012520 (2014).

[32] R.N. Faustov and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **65**, 265 (2002); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **63**, 845 (2000); Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052506 (2003).

[33] W. Nörtershäuser, T. Neff, R. Sanchez, and I. Sick, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 024307 (2011).

[34] R. Arnowitt, Phys. Rev. **92**, 1002 (1953).

[35] S.J. Brodsky and G.W. Erickson, Phys. Rev. **148**, 26 (1966).

[36] N. Kroll and F. Pollack, Phys. Rev. **84**, 594 (1951).

[37] R. Karplus, A. Klein and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **84**, 597 (1951).